

Places for Everyone Representation 2021

Family Name	Wilkinson
Given Name	Lucy
Person ID	1287195
Title	Stakeholder Submission
Type	Web
Family Name	Wilkinson
Given Name	Lucy
Person ID	1287195
Title	JPA 19: Bamford / Norden
Type	Web
Soundness - Positively prepared?	Unsound
Soundness - Justified?	Unsound
Soundness - Consistent with national policy?	Unsound
Soundness - Effective?	Unsound
Compliance - Legally compliant?	Yes
Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?	Yes
Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.	<p>The area proposed is unjust for a number of reasons. The main reason I believe the proposal should be rejected is that the land is green belt land, there are plenty of other well suited brownfield sites that could support the housing development. Bamfords amenities can also not support the vast number of new residents that the proposal suggests, especially when there isn't a need for the type of housing they want to build.</p> <p>Myself and my family spend a lot of time in the proposed site and it is a place my children love to come for a walk, scooter, bike ride and generally to explore. It is a beautiful area that many use for a range of different leisure activities aiding their mental and physical well-being. Alongside the human impacts the development to the green belt land will also cause significant destruction to the wildlife (including some protected species) in the area.</p> <p>We chose Bamford as an area to raise our family and enjoy for generations to come but the proposed plan destroys all of the reasons we wanted to call Bamford home and that is why we would like to see the plan refused and the green belt area saved.</p>
Redacted modification - Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make this section of the plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified above.	<p>As I outlined above the above plan is unjust and as it does not comply with the NPPF and the PfE objectives for a number of reasons the plan should be withdrawn. Modifications to the plan will still have greater negative impacts to Bamford and the surrounding area and it's residents so should not be re submitted with any changes.</p>